

## **REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE BH**

Just tired, old cliches.

Back in March, did you know Beverly Hills Unified had ordered an election for a huge, new, \$770,000,000 tax on your home? Do you feel compelled to reward reckless spending and poor management? If not for us, you wouldn't get the whole story.

### **NO ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES?**

Constitution says no salaries. Statutes say no salaries. Resolution, measure, and argument say no salaries. Guess what lawyers snuck in? Hint: "cost of District staff." Salaries will be paid. You can take that to the bank.

### **FACTS**

How did District get to \$385,000,000? It's maximum it can tax in one measure.

Six schools. \$64,000,000 each.

Remember Measure Y (\$260,000,000) (2016)? You voted it down. Election cost you over \$100K.

Measure BH is a contract. Have you read the fine print? Besides District salaries, what else does it pay for? -- "staff training," "painting," "refinancing ... lease," "advances made by ... City of Beverly Hills", and on and on.

Are schools REALLY falling apart? Have you ever read School Accountability Report Cards? ([saronline.org](http://saronline.org)) All school facilities are rated "good." Not a "leaky roof" in the lot. Who's being deceptive and dishonest here?

District's spent \$425,581,822, \$261,951,593 since 2013. Why are you buying Measure E promises again?

### **BOTTOM LINE**

Can you trust District? Have you seen enough to convince you that, when you're not looking, District is willing to breach law, its promises, and its trust?

Would you really agree to a written contract where promises weren't explicitly and unambiguously written into it?

You're being deceived! Don't sign a blank check. Vote NO! Learn more: <http://bit.ly/NoBHUSDBond>

**TIM FERREIRA**

Libertarian Party of Los Angeles County: Vice-Chair